

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/960,639	09/21/2001	Scott M. Hyde	04844/00010	5215	
22910	7590 12/24/2002				
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. 28 STATE STREET 28th FLOOR			EXAMINER		
			SILBERMANN, JOANNE		
BOSTON, MA	A 02109		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3611		
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/2002	DATE MAILED: 12/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		$\overline{A}$			
Office Action Summary	960639	Hyde	. etal.	$\mathcal{L}$			
Office Action Summary			Group Art Unit				
	Si Iberman	n l	361/	1			
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the co	rrespondence ad	ddress			
PridfrReply	_						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO I OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S)	FROM THE MAII	ING DATE			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> </ul>	within the statutory minimore SIX (6) MONTHS from	um of thirty (30) on the mailing date	lays will be considere	ed timely.			
Status							
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
☐ This action is FINAL.				•			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	formal matters, <b>prose</b> c.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	ecution as to t	he merits is clos	sed in			
Disp siti n of Claims							
Ø Claim(s)	is/are pe	is/are pending in the application.					
Of the above claim(s)							
☐ Claim(s)	is/are al	is/are allowed.					
Claim(s)	is/are re	is/are rejected.					
☐ Claim(s)	is/are ot	is/are objected to.					
□ Claim(s)	are subj	are subject to restriction or election					
Applicati n Papers		requiren	nent.				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing R	eview, PTO-948.						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)							
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_</li> </ul>	priority documents have						
received in this national stage application from the Interna	tional Bureau (PCT Ru	ule 1 7.2(a)).					
*Certified copies not received:			·				
Attachment(s)							
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)		erview Summa	ary, PTO-413				
M Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892		☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	□ Ot	her					
Office Action Summary							

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. \_\_\_\_\_

Application/Control Number: 09/960,639 Page 2

Art Unit: 3611

•

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, all the elements of the claims must be shown and labeled or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 3, 7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bigelow et al. US #4,175,306.
- 4. Bigelow et al. disclose a clip including face member 22 with a convex bend at a first end pivotably connected to base 23 having a concave bend at a first end and biasing member (coil spring) 43 urging the first ends together. The surfaces of the first ends are knurled, as shown in Figure 1. The base and face are each a single piece.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,639 Page 3

Art Unit: 3611

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 4-6 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bigelow et al.
- 7. Bigelow et al. do not teach smooth surfaces, however, this is considered to be an equivalent alternative. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to utilize smooth surfaces instead of knurled if it is desired to grip something very fragile.
- 8. Bigelow et al. do not teach using metal, however, this is old and well known in the art. The examiner takes official notice of badges issued for employees which include metal clips. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to utilize any well known metal to form the clip since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice.
- 9. Bigelow et al. also do not teach a leaf spring, however, this is also seen as an equivalent alternative to a coil spring. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to choose the appropriate clip for an application since leaf and coil springs are both well known and it appears that either would perform equally well.

Art Unit: 3611

16. Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bigelow et al. in view of Ohlson, US #6,301,751.

- 11. Bigelow et al., as described above, also teach extensions defining openings on each of the base and face members (Figure 2, holes 46 and 47). Bigelow et al. do not teach a circular hole at the second end of the base member, however, this is well known in the art. Ohlson teaches a clip including a hole at the second end of the base member 2b (Figure 1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to utilize such a hole to provide means for attaching display 3, as is taught by Ohlson.
- 12. The face and base members are attached by rivet 2c.
- 13. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bigelow et al. in view of Dymott et al. US #5,855,046.
- 14. Bigelow et al. do not teach covering the convex and concave ends, however, this is well known in the art. Dymott et al. teach a clip having gripping portions covered with covering 6. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill to utilize such a covering so as not to damage the article being gripped, as is taught by Dymott et al.

#### Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US patents 4741074, 1844774, 5079808 and 5564166 are cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 703-308-2091. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Th 5:30-2:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/960,639 Page 5

Art Unit: 3611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.

Jpanne Silbermann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611

js December 18, 2002